



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,880	10/12/2006	John Frankhuisen	784-107	9171
30448 7590 01/06/2009 AKERMAN SENTERFITT P.O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188				
EXAMINER				
WOOD, ELLEN S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1794				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/06/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/552,880

Applicant(s)

FRANKHUISEN, JOHN

Examiner

ELLEN S. WOOD

Art Unit

1794

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller et al. (US 5,691,043, hereinafter "Keller").

In regards to claim 1, Keller discloses a labeling laminate (col. 1 lines 51-54). The label has a core layer (under layer) and at least one skin layer (outer layer) adjacent to said core layer (col. 3 lines 10-13). The core layer may be opaque (col. 7 lines 31-32). The film is a heat shrink film that is passed through a hot water shrink tunnel and retains the characteristics of film (col. 1 lines 21-26). Thus, the core layer has an opaque configuration that will be configured to be opaque when exposed to moisture. The outer layers have an opacity that will be the same when exposed to moisture.

In regards to claim 2, Keller discloses the skin layer is adhered to the base layer by means of coextrusion (col. 9 lines 4-5). The core layer is polypropylene, which is a plastics material (col. 4 line 42).

In regards to claim 3, Keller discloses that the core layer is a white opaque material (col. 7 lines 23-24).

In regards to claims 4 and 15, Keller discloses the skin layer is adhered to the base layer by means of coextrusion (col. 9 lines 4-5), thus a permanent adhesive.

In regards to claim 5, Keller discloses that the core layer must provide sufficient operability in order for the film to be orientated without tearing (col. 4 lines 42-47), thus a stable laminate base.

In regards to claims 6-8, Keller discloses shrinking a label of heat shrinkable material over a bottle (col. 1 lines 57-61). Thus, the inner layer is adapted to adhere to the outer surface of a container. The label is heat shrunk to the container, thus is self-adhered, because there is no use of an adhesive used to apply the label to the bottle.

In regards to claim 10, Keller discloses that the core layer can be formed from a mixture of polypropylene and polyethylene (col. 6 lines 13-16).

In regards to claim 11, Keller discloses that the core layer is biaxially oriented polypropylene (col. 5 lines 1-5).

In regards to claim 13, Keller discloses a highly opaque five layer structure as the core layer (col. 7 lines lines 30-32).

In regards to claim 14, Keller discloses that the five layer structure comprises a core layer that is cavitated (col. 7 lines 15-27).

Keller is silent with regards to the opaqueness of the plastic and the printing of the outermost layer.

It would be obvious that a bottle would be glass because the heat tunnel temperatures are about 135°C (col. 10 lines 46-52), which would most likely melt most plastic bottles but glass would retain its shape.

In regards to claim 12, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a highly opaque biaxially oriented polypropylene plastic (col. 7 lines 31-32) as disclosed by Keller would have a opaques greater than 0.54 and would be discovered by routine experimentation.

In regards to claims 16-22, Keller discloses that the skin layer may be treated in a known and conventional manner, e.g., by corona discharge to improve its receptivity to printing inks and coatings (col. 8-9 lines 65-67 and line 1). The label has a core layer (under layer) and at least one skin layer (outer layer) adjacent to said core layer (col. 3 lines 10-13). The core layer may be opaque (col. 7 lines 31-32). The film is a heat shrink film that is passed through a hot water shrink tunnel and retains the characteristics of film (col. 1 lines 21-26), thus it would be inherent that the opaqueness of the film is retained and is water insoluble. The skin layer is adhered to the base layer by means of coextrusion (fusion) (col. 9 lines 4-5), thus the materials are laminated to each other by insoluble means. The label is heat shrunk over a bottle (col. 1 lines 57-61). shrinking a label of heat shrinkable material over a bottle (col. 1 lines 57-61). Thus, the inner layer is adapted to adhere to the outer surface of a container.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate printed indicia to the outermost layer of the label disclosed by Keller because the outermost layer of the label is treated in order to improve the receptivity of printing inks.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/01/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the core layer contains an opacifying material, thus the material does not have a reduced opacity after the outer layer is exposed to moisture or liquid. Claim 1 states that the **“outer layer is made of a material that has an**

opacity". All materials have opacity even if the material does not contain an opacifying material. The core material can be opaque and has minimal changes when exposed to water. The outer layer has an opacity which when exposed to water has a minimal change, however it does reduce slightly. Thus, claims 1-22 are unpatentable under Keller.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELLEN S. WOOD whose telephone number is (571)270-3450. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 730-5 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794